County of Santa Clara Board of Supervisors

Supervisorial District Four Supervisor James T. Beall, Jr.



1.24.06.Employment.Rfrl

DATE:

January 24, 2006

TO:

Board of Supervisors

FROM:

James 7. Beally.

James T. Beall, Jr. Supervisor, District 4

SUBJECT: Foster Youth Employment Workgroup

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Direct Administration in collaboration with the Social Services Agency to convene a workgroup comprised of key stakeholders to develop recommendations relating to the development of an effective job placement/job preparedness program for emancipating foster youth by identifying the criteria necessary to develop a quality Job Placement Program for emancipating and emancipated foster youth, and strategies to engage private and public employers.

Administration will provide task force recommendations to the Children, Seniors and Families Committee for consideration.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

the specialist action

Mone

UBoard of Supervisors, Donald F. Gage, Blanca Alvarado, Pete McHugh, Jim Beall, Liz Kniss County Executive, Peter Kutras Jr.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION

of the Market growing and a

The primary purpose of this work group will be to 1) develop an employment safety net for current and emancipating foster youth, and 2) develop strategies to facilitate the hiring of current and emancipated foster youth by public and private employers. Recent reports the Children, Seniors and Families Committee (CSFC) found a need to focus on the critical need for improved job placement options for emancipating foster youth. Informal discussions with key partners including the Silicon Valley Children's Fund, Working Partnerships USA, and Santa Clara County Foster Parent Association indicates high interest in participating in an employment workgroup.

An Annual ILP Statistical report given to the CSFC on November 9, 2005, demonstrated that our County needs to better provide emancipating foster youth with adequate job readiness skills and job placement. Specifically, the report indicated that of the 554 foster youth who received ILP services in Federal Fiscal Year 2004, 179 were currently seeking employment.

Recommendations from this workgroup will compliment DFCS' current efforts invested in their Foster Youth Initiative. Additionally, the workgroup will provide an opportunity for the County to give input to other governmental efforts to address the critical need to support and improve our Foster Care System including the Assembly Select Committee on Foster Care.

DFCS' Foster Youth Initiative focuses on increasing opportunities for emancipating foster youth to successfully transition from the foster care system to self sufficiency by developing their academic and life skills in the following three components:

- 1. Employment/Job Training/Post-Secondary Education
- 2. Housing
- 3. K-12 Education.

As part of its focus on preparing foster youth for productive adulthood, DFCS is initiating a training and employment workgroup for transitioning and emancipated foster youth in February 2006. This workgroup will identify foster youth needs and review work training and work experience programs for transitioning and emancipated foster youth. The workgroup

will also design an assessment, referral, and follow-up process to enable foster youth to prepare for and obtain jobs with high wage and high growth opportunities.

The DFCS workgroup would benefit from another workgroup that 1) identifies possibilities for career opportunities with high wage and high growth capacities for foster youth, 2) examines state and national best practice employment models for clients with multiple barriers and 3) provides access for foster youth to these identified employment possibilities by engaging potential employers.

BACKGROUND

The three components of DFCS' Foster Youth Initiative, Employment, Education and Housing were selected because they are the most critical components impacting whether emancipating foster youth will experience successful life outcomes. Research demonstrates that the more education an individual has the more likely they are to obtain higher paying jobs. Unfortunately, many of our foster youth are testing well below 10th grade reading and writing level. In addition to pursuing an education, employment is another key element of a successful transition to independence. Unemployment is significant barrier facing our emancipating youth today. Some critical supportive service programs such as subsidized housing require the emancipating youth be currently employed. Yet recent reports demonstrate that youth emancipating from the County Independent Living Program are not entering the workforce or pursuing academics in significant numbers.

ATTACHMENTS

ILP Annual Report

Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Repopara systems and survey design Bureau Federal Fiscal Years 2000 - 2004

ctober 1 through September 30			REPORT PERIOD				
INTY NAME	1999- 2000	2000- 2001	2001- 2002	2002- 2003	2003-		
A.A. Youths Served and Client Characteristics	529	612	804	596	638		
Youths to whom ILP services were offered during the year		540	549	574	554		
Youths who received ILP services during the year (Items 2a plus 2b)	478	538	546	571	547		
a. Youths who are not married	476	2	3	3	7		
Verific who are married	2	59	43	51	61		
Youths who received ILP services and are parents (Items 3a plus 3b)	32		9	12	14		
a. Youths who are fathers	5	13	34	39	47		
	27	46	1 94	1 30	 		
b. Youths who are mothers Youths who received ILP services and have special needs (educational, mental	44	102	88	113	136		
	96	148	162	277	213		
i and the propriess and are no longer in toster care (ageo to 20)				7	1		
Youths who received ILP services during the six month period following exit	68	32	118	154	138		
Confederation	159	105	150	162	178		
Department who received ILP services	6	289	237	274	376		
Youths in the County Welfare Department (CWD) Who received					1412		
ri B. Program Outcome/Client Progress	7	112	2108	436	473		
Youths who completed ILP services or a component of services	308	540	514				
Youths who completed ILP services or a compensation of the services	308	406	279	129			
Youths who are continuing to receive ILP services Youths who are continuing to receive ILP services	149	140	90				
Youths who are continuing to reconstruing to reconstruing to reconstruing and/or currently enrolled in high school/GED or adult education Youths continuing and/or currently enrolled in high school/GED or adult education	299	245	328				
Youths continuing and/or currently enrolled in the description of the lowest and the description of the lowest and the description of the lowest and the low	1-1-	26	125	- 59	- -		
Youths who have completed vocational or on-the-job training Youths continuing and/or currently enrolled in vocational education or	Ì			65	24		
Youths continuing and/or currently etholics in vocation	. 3	26	71 70				
on-the-job training	43	75	53				
5. Youths enrolled in college (Items 15a plus 15b)	32	62	17				
. a. Youths in community college	11.	13		_			
b. Youths in four-year university	185	152					
Youths who obtained employment (Items 16a plus 16b)	94	34	69				
a. Youths who obtained full-time employment	91	118					
b. Youths who obtained part-time employment		13	1				
b. Youths who obtained partning on polytomia Conservation Corps Youths enlisted in military, Job Corps, or California Conservation Corps	152						
	4	11	2				
Youths actively seeking employment Youths determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category Youths determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category	98	10			·		
Youths who are living independently of agency maintenance programs	4	17					
Youths who obtained subsidized housing Youths who obtained subsidized housing	10	_					
Youths who obtained substitutes were government assisted services Youths who transitioned into other government assisted services Youths who participated in the Supportive Transitional Emancipation Program (STEP)	N/A	N//	N	<u>A</u> - (' '		
23. Youths who participated in the Supportive Transitional Program		1			2 5		
23. Youths who participated in the Supported Transitional housing placement program 24. Youths who were placed in a transitional housing placement program	17	36	- 1 14	8 4	2 3		
(Items 24a plus 24b plus 24c) a. Youths who participated in a supervised, Transitional Housing Placement	l l	. I .		.	7 2		
a. Youths who participated in a supervised, Transitionary	N//	N/	A IN	/A 2			
Program (THPP) (youths ages 16-18) b. STEP youths who participated in a certified, Transitional Housing	1						
b. STEP youths who participated in a definited, Proceedings 18-21)	N/A	A N/	^ ^	/A	-		
Program-Plus (THP-Plus) (youths ages 18-21) c. Non-Supportive Transitional Emancipation Program (youths ages 18-21)	1	1			15		
c. Non-Supportive Transitional Efficiency Country (youths ages 18-21) participated in a certified, THP-Plus Program (youths ages 18-21)	N/		· · · · ·				
participated in a certified, Translated Translate Indo Safe and affordable housing 25. Youths who did not emancipate into safe and affordable housing	N/			'''-	8 68		
the state of among ingression and sale and another income	19	6 17	ツーしょう	73	UU 1		