REC'D OCT 2 0 2006

E3 INSTITUTE
ADVANCING EXCELLENCE IN EARLY EDUCATION

FIRST 5
SANTA CLARA COUNTY

LPC
LOCAL EARLY EDUCATION PLANNING COUNCIL
OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Agenda

Group/Meeting Name:		District 4 Pilot Research Study – Final Advisory Group Meeting			
Date:	11.1.06	From:	9:00	To:	12:00 P.M.
Location:		FIRST 5 Santa Clara County 4000 Moorpark Ave, Suite 200 San Jose, 95117 Executive Conference Room			
Facilitators:		Fred Ferrer & Don Bolce			
Recorder/Timekeeper:		Michael Garcia			

Topic	Who	Time
1. ROUNDTABLE / FIRST IMPRESSIONSONS	Fred	10 min.
2. DATA REVIEW: ▪ 4c DATA ▪ LPC DATA: CHILD CARE MATRIX ▪ D4 ELEMENTARY SCHOOL INFORMATION	Group	30 min.
3. MAP REVIEW	Group	30 min.
4. WHAT ARE THE FINDINGS?	Don	45 min.
5. FINDINGS: SOLUTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS	Don	45 min.
6. REPORT FRAMEWORK	Don	20 min.

District 4 Child Care Report

Provided by 4C's of Santa Clara County, Inc., Resource and Referral Department
September 2006

(326) Active, Licensed Family Child Care Homes
(149) Active, Licensed Child Care Centers

Capacity:

Ages	Centers			Family Child Care Homes		
	# Spaces	# Vacancies	Vacancy %	# Spaces	# Vacancies	Vacancy %
0 – 1yr 11m	804	49	6%	802	137	17%
2yrs 0m – 5yrs 11m	7721	725	9%	1369	272	20%
6 years and older	2241	267	12%	846	120	14%

Schedule:

Type of Care	Full-time and Part-time	Full-time only	Part-time only	Weekend care	Overnight care	Evening care
Family Child Care	247	71	8	21	11	18
Center	92	23	34	3	0	0

Education: 90 Centers Responded to this Survey Question (60%)/301 Family Child Care Responded (92%)

Type of Care	No Formal Education	Less than High School Diploma	High School Diploma or GED	Some College Courses	BA / BS non-ECE/CD	AA/AS ECE/CD	AA/AS non-ECE/CD	BA/BS ECE/CD	Some Graduate School	Graduate Degree ECE/CD	Graduate Degree non-ECE/CD
Family Child Care	2	4	50	75	25	12	8	3	7	3	6
Center	0	0	1	16	13	9	2	21	4	11	13

Other Information:

Type of Care	Average Number of ECE/CD Units Completed per Provider	# Responses	# of Family Child Care with 0-6 ECE/CD Units	# of Family Child Care with 7-12 ECE/CD Units	# of Family Child Care with 13+ ECE/CD Units	Number of Children age 0-5 terminated from program as a result of challenging behavior and/or special needs?
Family Child Care	15	301 (92%)	239	17	45	19
Center	41	102 (68%)				65

Accreditation:

(8) Family child care homes (10) Centers

Average Rates:

Ages	*Centers*		*Family Child Care*	
	FT Weekly	*PT Weekly*	*FT Weekly*	*PT Weekly*
0 – 1yr 11m	253	165	198	150
2yrs 0m – 5yrs 11m	188	128	184	137
6 years and older	148	105	159	118

District 4 Elementary School Information

		School District	Elementary School	# of Kindergarten Students	Total enrollment	# of English Language Learners	% of English Language Learners	# of Free or Reduced Priced Meals Eligible Students	% of Free or Reduced Priced Meals Eligible Students	2005 Base API Score	2006 Growth API Score
1	41	Cambrian	Bagby	96	641	44	6.9%	109	17.0%	874	875
2	43	Cambrian	Fammatre Charles Charter	77	483	54	11.2%	101	21.0%	877	880
3	44	Cambrian	Farnham Martin H. Charter	80	441	55	12.5%	128	29.1%	822	818
4	47	Cambrian	Sartorette Charter	79	484	42	8.7%	105	21.7%	870	888
5	49	Campbell	Blackford	126	591	324	54.8%	418	70.7%	738	713
6	51	Campbell	Capri	98	613	208	33.9%	241	39.3%	832	847
7	52	Campbell	Castlemont	104	676	277	41.0%	254	37.6%	778	789
8	55	Campbell	Forest Hill	110	569	106	18.6%	91	16.0%	841	866
10	58	Campbell	Lynhaven	116	579	250	43.2%	288	49.7%	725	727
11	64	Campbell	Rosemary	100	454	334	73.6%	379	83.5%	662	669
12	65	Campbell	Sherman Oaks Comm. Charter	80	486	353	72.6%	331	68.1%	820	834
13	66	Campbell	Village	35	168	18	10.7%	8	4.8%	832	860
14	71	Cupertino	De Vargas, Manuel	54	417	159	38.1%	115	27.3%	835	837
15	75	Cupertino	Eisenhower, Diwght D.	101	544	72	13.2%	62	11.2%	885	886
16	145	Luther Burbank	Luther Burbank	74	524	353	67.4%	432	82.4%	695	749
17	148	Moreland	Anderson (Leroy)	60	426	266	62.4%	347	81.3%	645	602
18	149	Moreland	Baker (Gussie M.)	69	464	111	23.9%	103	22.2%	903	877
19	153	Moreland	Country Lane	77	506	57	11.3%	51	10.1%	916	918
20	155	Moreland	Easterbrook	111	613	109	17.8%	179	29.8%	841	870
21	157	Moreland	Latimer	74	473	131	27.7%	139	29.4%	864	869
22	158	Moreland	Payne (George C.)	65	383	58	15.1%	101	29.0%	865	899
24	214	Union	Alta Vista	85	467	20	4.3%	52	11.2%	861	870
26	222	Union	Lietz	805	474	73	15.4%	154	32.4%	805	808
28	225	Union	Noddin	111	593	51	8.6%	70	11.7%	887	893
29	226	Union	Oster	73	458	45	9.8%	134	29.6%	852	845
30	356	San Jose Unified	Almaden	59	364	211	58.0%	274	71.9%	702	749
31	360	San Jose Unified	Cory (Benjamin)	155	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0
32	367	San Jose Unified	Hacienda Magnet	88	614	55	9.0%	99	15.8%	845	858
33	373	San Jose Unified	Reed	69	447	44	9.8%	188	25.6%	832	812
34	375	San Jose Unified	Schallenberger	82	527	103	19.5%	199	38.4%	811	811
35	381	San Jose Unified	Trace (Merritt)	0	854	302	35.4%	494	59.9%	737	755
36	387	San Jose Unified	Hammer	41	243	51	21.0%	110	44.5%	786	783
37	382	Santa Clara	Bowers	59	376	172	45.7%	236	62.4%	773	772
38	383	Santa Clara	Bracher	60	386	161	41.7%	275	72.2%	817	784
39	385	Santa Clara	Briarwood	81	412	162	39.3%	279	67.6%	758	732
40	389	Santa Clara	Haman (C.W.)	58	354	124	35.0%	163	45.3%	707	727
41	390	Santa Clara	Hughes (Kathryn)	69	456	181	39.7%	201	43.5%	741	726
42	394	Santa Clara	Millikin	60	368	72	19.6%	27	7.3%	998	997
43	395	Santa Clara	Montague	65	399	168	42.1%	263	66.2%	712	731
44	398	Santa Clara	Pomeroy	88	530	218	41.1%	270	50.8%	752	769
45	401	Santa Clara	Scott Lane	80	459	269	58.6%	400	88.7%	This school/district has certified to the CDE that during the 2006 STAR testing an adult irregularity in testing procedure occurred at the school affecting 5% or more of pupils tested. Therefore, this school does not have a valid API for 2006.	
46	402	Santa Clara	Sutter	73	378	74	19.6%	89	23.5%	823	847
47	403	Santa Clara	Washington (Open)	60	355	32	9.0%	24	6.8%	843	853
48	404	Santa Clara	Westwood	83	441	132	29.9%	204	45.5%	719	739
			Totals & Averages	**4,190**	**20,490**	**6,101**	**29.8%**	**7,977**	**38.9%**	**788**	**794**

District 4 Elementary School Information

	School District	Elementary School	# African American	% African American	# American Indian/ Alaska Native	% American Indian/ Alaska Native	# Asian	% Asian	# Filipino	% Filipino	# Hispanic/ Latino	% Hispanic/ Latino	# Pacific Islander	% Pacific Islander	# White (Not Hispanic)	% White (Not Hispanic)	# Multiple/ No Response	% Multiple/ No Response
41	Cambrian	Bagby	15	2.3%	5	0.8%	83	12.9%	13	2.0%	113	17.6%	7	1.1%	401	62.6%	4	0.6%
43	Cambrian	Farnmare Charles Charter	13	2.7%	3	0.6%	54	11.2%	16	3.3%	139	28.8%	4	0.8%	254	52.6%	0	0.0%
44	Cambrian	Farnham Martin H. Charter	44	10.0%	1	0.2%	57	12.9%	32	7.3%	115	26.1%	20	4.5%	167	37.9%	5	1.1%
47	Cambrian	Sartorette Charter	20	4.1%	7	1.4%	53	11.0%	10	2.1%	144	29.8%	6	1.2%	244	50.4%	0	0.0%
49	Campbell	Blackford	62	10.5%	4	0.7%	58	9.8%	11	1.9%	364	61.2%	4	0.7%	84	14.2%	4	0.7%
51	Campbell	Capri	30	4.9%	7	1.1%	97	15.8%	8	1.3%	190	31.0%	7	1.1%	247	40.3%	27	4.4%
52	Campbell	Castlemont	26	3.8%	3	0.4%	71	10.5%	21	0.3%	253	37.4%	6	0.9%	293	43.3%	3	0.4%
55	Campbell	Forest Hill	18	0.3%	5	0.9%	29	13.9%	14	2.5%	107	18.8%	3	0.5%	321	56.4%	22	3.9%
58	Campbell	Lynhaven	46	7.9%	4	0.7%	57	9.8%	22	3.8%	267	46.1%	10	1.7%	162	28.0%	11	1.9%
64	Campbell	Rosemary	25	5.5%	2	0.4%	27	5.9%	6	1.3%	343	75.6%	3	0.7%	42	9.3%	6	1.3%
65	Campbell	Sherman Oaks Comm. Charter	23	4.7%	1	0.2%	13	2.7%	5	1.0%	395	81.3%	2	0.4%	46	9.5%	1	0.2%
66	Campbell	Village	6	3.6%	3	1.8%	7	4.2%	1	0.6%	27	16.1%	0	0.0%	109	64.9%	15	8.9%
71	Cupertino	De Vargas, Manuel	10	2.4%	4	1.0%	198	1.7%	7	1.7%	89	21.3%	4	1.0%	105	25.2%	0	0.0%
75	Cupertino	Eisenhower, Dwight D.	12	2.2%	3	0.6%	284	52.2%	10	1.8%	70	12.9%	2	0.4%	163	30.0%	0	0.0%
145	Luther Burbank	Luther Burbank	17	3.2%	1	0.2%	10	1.9%	21	0.4%	422	80.5%	0	0.0%	41	7.8%	12	2.3%
148	Moreland	Anderson (Leroy)	17	4.0%	1	0.2%	28	6.6%	4	0.9%	315	73.9%	2	0.5%	38	8.9%	21	4.9%
149	Moreland	Baker (Gussie M.)	20	4.3%	0	0.0%	99	21.3%	2	0.4%	55	11.9%	2	0.4%	201	43.3%	85	18.3%
153	Moreland	Country Lane	7	1.4%	0	0.0%	182	36.0%	3	0.6%	25	4.9%	3	0.6%	196	38.7%	90	17.8%
155	Moreland	Easterbrook	16	2.6%	1	0.2%	171	27.9%	6	1.0%	97	15.8%	13	2.1%	215	35.1%	94	15.3%
157	Moreland	Latimer	15	0.3%	2	0.4%	216	21.6%	13	2.7%	94	19.9%	2	0.4%	143	30.2%	103	21.6%
158	Moreland	Payne (George C.)	16	4.2%	0	0.0%	157	15.7%	7	1.8%	69	18.0%	2	0.5%	165	43.1%	64	16.7%
214	Union	Alta Vista	13	2.8%	1	0.0%	49	10.5%	7	1.5%	44	9.4%	0	0.0%	353	75.6%	0	0.0%
222	Union	Lietz	27	5.7%	3	0.6%	17	3.6%	14	3.0%	155	32.7%	3	0.6%	246	51.9%	9	1.9%
225	Union	Noddin	10	1.7%	0	0.0%	78	13.2%	5	0.8%	78	13.2%	9	1.5%	408	68.8%	5	0.8%
356	San Jose Unified	Almaden	12	3.3%	6	1.6%	19	5.2%	4	1.1%	279	76.6%	0	0.0%	42	11.5%	2	0.5%
367	San Jose Unified	Hacienda Magnet	20	3.3%	26	4.2%	92	15.1%	16	2.6%	170	27.7%	2	0.3%	279	45.4%	8	1.2%
373	San Jose Unified	Reed	13	2.9%	9	2.0%	38	8.5%	14	3.1%	128	28.6%	2	0.4%	234	52.3%	9	2.0%
375	San Jose Unified	Schallenberger	24	4.6%	14	2.7%	33	6.3%	19	3.6%	248	47.1%	0	0.0%	191	34.3%	8	1.5%
381	San Jose Unified	Trace (Meritt)	4	4.7%	10	11.8%	8	9.4%	1	1.2%	26	30.6%	0	0.0%	35	41.2%	1	1.2%
387	San Jose Unified	Hammer	29	11.1%	7	2.9%	30	12.3%	8	3.3%	98	38.3%	3	1.2%	68	28.0%	4	2.9%
382	Santa Clara	Bowers	14	3.7%	2	0.5%	124	33.0%	22	5.9%	99	26.3%	6	1.6%	95	25.3%	14	3.7%
383	Santa Clara	Bracher	28	7.3%	2	0.5%	69	17.9%	29	7.5%	172	44.6%	16	4.1%	50	60.0%	10	2.6%
385	Santa Clara	Briarwood	23	5.6%	1	0.2%	80	19.4%	25	6.1%	165	40.0%	5	1.2%	92	22.3%	21	5.1%
389	Santa Clara	Hanan (C.W.)	11	3.1%	4	1.1%	46	13.0%	19	5.4%	133	37.6%	8	2.3%	121	34.2%	12	3.4%
390	Santa Clara	Hughes (Kathryn)	51	11.2%	1	0.2%	72	15.8%	98	21.5%	127	27.9%	1	0.2%	45	9.9%	61	13.4%
394	Santa Clara	Millikin	6	1.6%	0	0.0%	227	61.7%	5	1.4%	12	3.3%	0	0.0%	91	24.7%	27	7.3%
395	Santa Clara	Montague	36	9.0%	1	0.3%	60	15.0%	90	22.6%	119	29.8%	2	0.5%	45	11.3%	46	11.5%
398	Santa Clara	Pomeroy	39	7.4%	3	0.6%	113	21.3%	45	8.5%	177	33.4%	5	0.9%	126	23.8%	22	4.2%
401	Santa Clara	Scott Lane	16	3.5%	3	0.7%	63	13.7%	17	3.7%	301	65.6%	3	0.7%	48	10.5%	8	1.7%
402	Santa Clara	Sutter	7	1.9%	0	0.0%	65	17.2%	5	1.3%	68	18.0%	4	1.1%	166	43.9%	63	16.7%
403	Santa Clara	Washington (Open)	5	1.4%	3	0.8%	28	7.9%	4	1.1%	32	9.0%	2	0.8%	231	65.1%	49	13.8%
404	Santa Clara	Westwood	25	5.7%	1	0.2%	52	11.8%	14	3.2%	132	29.9%	2	0.5%	171	38.8%	44	10.0%
		Totals and Averages	**871**	**4.3%**	**154**	**0.8%**	**3,364**	**16.4%**	**693**	**3.4%**	**6,456**	**31.5%**	**175**	**0.9%**	**6,774**	**33.1%**	**990**	**4.8%**

total enrollment in all D4 schools=	**20,490**

District 4 Elementary School Information

		School District	Elementary School	# of Kindergarten Students	Total enrollment	# of English Language Learners	% of English Language Learners	# of Free or Reduced Priced Meals Eligible Students	% of Free or Reduced Priced Meals Eligible Students	2005 Base API Score	2006 Growth API Score
1	41	Cambrian	Bagby	96	641	44	6.9%	109	17.0%	874	875
2	43	Cambrian	Fammatre Charles Charter	77	483	54	11.2%	101	21.0%	877	880
3	44	Cambrian	Farnham Martin H. Charter	80	441	55	12.5%	128	29.1%	822	818
4	47	Cambrian	Sartorette Charter	79	484	42	8.7%	105	21.7%	870	888
5	49	Campbell	Blackford	126	591	324	54.8%	418	70.7%	738	713
6	51	Campbell	Capri	98	613	208	33.9%	241	39.3%	832	847
7	52	Campbell	Castlemont	104	676	277	41.0%	254	37.6%	778	789
8	55	Campbell	Forest Hill	110	569	106	18.6%	91	16.0%	841	866
10	58	Campbell	Lynhaven	116	579	250	43.2%	288	49.7%	725	727
11	64	Campbell	Rosemary	100	454	334	73.6%	379	83.5%	662	669
12	65	Campbell	Sherman Oaks Comm. Charter	80	486	353	72.6%	331	68.1%	820	834
13	66	Campbell	Village	35	168	18	10.7%	8	4.8%	832	860
14	71	Cupertino	De Vargas, Manuel	54	417	159	38.1%	115	27.3%	835	837
15	75	Cupertino	Eisenhower, Diwght D.	101	544	72	13.2%	62	11.2%	885	886
16	145	Luther Burbank	Luther Burbank	74	524	353	67.4%	432	82.4%	695	749
17	148	Moreland	Anderson (Leroy)	60	426	266	62.4%	347	81.3%	645	602
18	149	Moreland	Baker (Gussie M.)	69	464	111	23.9%	103	22.2%	903	877
19	153	Moreland	Country Lane	77	506	57	11.3%	51	10.1%	916	918
20	155	Moreland	Easterbrook	111	613	109	17.8%	179	29.8%	841	870
21	157	Moreland	Latimer	74	473	131	27.7%	139	29.4%	864	869
22	158	Moreland	Payne (George C.)	65	383	58	15.1%	101	29.0%	865	899
24	214	Union	Alta Vista	85	467	20	4.3%	52	11.2%	861	870
26	222	Union	Lietz	805	474	73	15.4%	154	32.4%	805	808
28	225	Union	Noddin	111	593	51	8.6%	70	11.7%	887	893
29	226	Union	Oster	73	458	45	9.8%	134	29.6%	852	845
30	356	San Jose Unified	Almaden	59	364	211	58.0%	274	71.9%	702	749
31	360	San Jose Unified	Cory (Benjamin)	155	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0
32	367	San Jose Unified	Hacienda Magnet	88	614	55	9.0%	99	15.8%	845	858
33	373	San Jose Unified	Reed	69	447	44	9.8%	188	25.6%	832	812
34	375	San Jose Unified	Schallenberger	82	527	103	19.5%	199	38.4%	811	811
35	381	San Jose Unified	Trace (Merritt)	0	854	302	35.4%	494	59.9%	737	755
36	387	San Jose Unified	Hammer	41	243	51	21.0%	110	44.5%	786	783
37	382	Santa Clara	Bowers	59	376	172	45.7%	236	62.4%	773	772
38	383	Santa Clara	Bracher	60	386	161	41.7%	275	72.2%	817	784
39	385	Santa Clara	Briarwood	81	412	162	39.3%	279	67.6%	758	732
40	389	Santa Clara	Haman (C.W.)	58	354	124	35.0%	163	45.3%	707	727
41	390	Santa Clara	Hughes (Kathryn)	69	456	181	39.7%	201	43.5%	741	726
42	394	Santa Clara	Millikin	60	368	72	19.6%	27	7.3%	998	997
43	395	Santa Clara	Montague	65	399	168	42.1%	263	66.2%	712	731
44	398	Santa Clara	Pomeroy	88	530	218	41.1%	270	50.8%	752	769
45	401	Santa Clara	Scott Lane	80	459	269	58.6%	400	88.7%	This school/district has certified to the CDE that during the 2006 STAR testing an adult irregularity in testing procedure occurred at the school affecting 5% or more of pupils tested. Therefore, this school does not have a valid API for 2006.	
46	402	Santa Clara	Sutter	73	378	74	19.6%	89	23.5%	823	847
47	403	Santa Clara	Washington (Open)	60	355	32	9.0%	24	6.8%	843	853
48	404	Santa Clara	Westwood	83	441	132	29.9%	204	45.5%	719	739
			Totals & Averages	**4,190**	**20,490**	**6,101**	**29.8%**	**7,977**	**38.9%**	**788**	**794**

District 4 Elementary School Information

	School District	Elementary School	# African American	% African American	# American Indian/ Alaska Native	% American Indian/ Alaska Native	# Asian	% Asian	# Filipino	% Filipino	# Hispanic/ Latino	% Hispanic/ Latino	# Pacific Islander	% Pacific Islander	# White (Not Hispanic)	% White (Not Hispanic)	# Multiple/ No Response	% Multiple/ No Response
41	Cambrian	Bagby	15	2.3%	5	0.8%	83	12.9%	13	2.0%	113	17.6%	7	1.1%	401	62.6%	4	0.6%
43	Cambrian	Fammatre Charles Charter	13	2.7%	3	0.6%	54	11.2%	16	3.3%	139	28.8%	4	0.8%	254	52.6%	0	0.0%
44	Cambrian	Farnham Martin H. Charter	44	10.0%	1	0.2%	57	12.9%	32	7.3%	115	26.1%	20	4.5%	167	37.9%	5	1.1%
47	Cambrian	Sartorette Charter	20	4.1%	7	1.4%	53	11.0%	10	2.1%	144	29.8%	6	1.2%	244	50.4%	0	0.0%
49	Campbell	Blackford	62	10.5%	4	0.7%	58	9.8%	11	1.9%	364	61.2%	4	0.7%	84	14.2%	4	0.7%
51	Campbell	Capri	30	4.9%	7	1.1%	97	15.8%	8	1.3%	190	31.0%	7	1.1%	247	40.3%	27	4.4%
52	Campbell	Castlemont	26	3.8%	3	0.4%	71	10.5%	21	3.1%	253	37.4%	6	0.9%	293	43.3%	3	0.4%
55	Campbell	Forest Hill	18	0.3%	5	0.9%	79	13.9%	14	2.5%	107	18.8%	3	0.5%	321	56.4%	22	3.9%
58	Campbell	Lynhaven	46	7.9%	4	0.7%	57	9.8%	22	3.8%	267	46.1%	10	1.7%	162	28.0%	11	1.9%
64	Campbell	Rosemary	25	5.5%	2	0.4%	27	5.9%	6	1.3%	343	75.6%	3	0.7%	42	9.3%	6	1.3%
65	Campbell	Sherman Oaks Comm. Charter	23	4.7%	1	0.2%	13	2.7%	5	1.0%	395	81.3%	2	0.4%	46	9.5%	1	0.2%
66	Campbell	Village	9	3.6%	3	1.8%	7	4.2%	1	0.6%	27	16.1%	0	0.0%	109	64.9%	15	8.9%
71	Cupertino	De Vargas, Manuel	10	2.4%	4	1.0%	198	1.7%	7	1.7%	89	21.3%	4	1.0%	105	25.2%	0	0.0%
75	Cupertino	Eisenhower, Dwight D.	12	2.2%	3	0.6%	284	52.2%	10	1.8%	70	12.9%	2	0.4%	163	30.0%	0	0.0%
145	Luther Burbank	Luther Burbank	17	3.2%	1	0.2%	10	1.9%	21	0.4%	422	80.5%	0	0.0%	41	7.8%	12	2.3%
148	Moreland	Anderson (Leroy)	17	4.0%	1	0.2%	28	6.6%	4	0.9%	315	73.9%	2	0.5%	38	8.9%	21	4.9%
149	Moreland	Baker (Gussie M.)	20	4.3%	0	0.0%	99	21.3%	2	0.4%	55	11.9%	2	0.4%	201	43.3%	85	18.3%
153	Moreland	Country Lane	7	1.4%	0	0.0%	182	36.0%	3	0.6%	25	4.9%	3	0.6%	196	38.7%	90	17.8%
155	Moreland	Easterbrook	16	2.6%	1	0.2%	171	27.9%	6	1.0%	97	15.8%	13	2.1%	215	35.1%	94	15.3%
157	Moreland	Latimer	15	0.3%	2	0.4%	216	21.6%	13	2.7%	94	19.9%	2	0.4%	143	30.2%	103	21.6%
158	Moreland	Payne (George C.)	16	4.2%	0	0.0%	157	15.7%	7	1.8%	69	18.0%	2	0.5%	165	43.1%	64	16.7%
214	Union	Alta Vista	13	2.8%	1	0.0%	49	10.5%	7	1.5%	44	9.4%	0	0.0%	353	75.6%	0	0.0%
222	Union	Lietz	27	5.7%	3	0.6%	17	3.6%	14	3.0%	155	32.7%	3	0.6%	246	51.9%	9	1.9%
225	Union	Noddin	10	1.7%	0	0.0%	78	13.2%	5	0.8%	78	13.2%	9	1.5%	408	68.8%	5	0.8%
356	San Jose Unified	Almaden	12	3.3%	6	1.6%	19	5.2%	4	1.1%	279	76.6%	0	0.0%	42	11.5%	2	0.5%
367	San Jose Unified	Hacienda Magnet	20	3.3%	26	4.2%	92	15.1%	16	2.6%	170	27.7%	2	0.3%	279	45.4%	8	1.2%
373	San Jose Unified	Reed	13	2.9%	9	2.0%	38	8.5%	14	3.1%	128	28.6%	2	0.4%	234	52.3%	9	2.0%
375	San Jose Unified	Schallenberger	24	4.6%	14	2.7%	33	6.3%	19	3.6%	248	47.1%	0	0.0%	191	34.3%	8	1.5%
381	San Jose Unified	Trace (Meritt)	4	4.7%	10	11.8%	8	9.4%	1	1.2%	26	30.6%	0	0.0%	35	41.2%	1	1.2%
387	San Jose Unified	Hammer	29	11.1%	7	2.9%	30	12.3%	8	3.3%	98	38.3%	3	1.2%	68	28.0%	4	2.9%
382	Santa Clara	Bowers	14	3.7%	2	0.5%	124	33.0%	22	5.9%	99	26.3%	6	1.6%	95	25.3%	14	3.7%
383	Santa Clara	Bracher	28	7.3%	2	0.5%	69	17.9%	29	7.5%	172	44.6%	16	4.1%	50	60.0%	10	2.6%
385	Santa Clara	Briarwood	23	5.6%	1	0.2%	80	19.4%	25	6.1%	165	40.0%	5	1.2%	92	22.3%	21	5.1%
389	Santa Clara	Hanan (C.W.)	11	3.1%	4	1.1%	46	13.0%	19	5.4%	133	37.6%	8	2.3%	121	34.2%	12	3.4%
390	Santa Clara	Hughes (Kathryn)	51	11.2%	1	0.2%	72	15.8%	98	21.5%	127	27.9%	1	0.2%	45	9.9%	61	13.4%
394	Santa Clara	Millikin	6	1.6%	0	0.0%	227	61.7%	5	1.4%	12	3.3%	0	0.0%	91	24.7%	27	7.3%
395	Santa Clara	Montague	36	9.0%	1	0.3%	60	15.0%	90	22.6%	119	29.8%	2	0.5%	45	11.3%	46	11.5%
398	Santa Clara	Pomeroy	39	7.4%	3	0.6%	113	21.3%	45	8.5%	177	33.4%	5	0.9%	126	23.8%	22	4.2%
401	Santa Clara	Scott Lane	16	3.5%	3	0.7%	63	13.7%	17	3.7%	301	65.6%	3	0.7%	48	10.5%	8	1.7%
402	Santa Clara	Sutter	7	1.9%	0	0.0%	65	17.2%	5	1.3%	68	18.0%	4	1.1%	166	43.9%	63	16.7%
403	Santa Clara	Washington (Open)	5	1.4%	3	0.8%	28	7.9%	4	1.1%	32	9.0%	2	0.8%	231	65.1%	49	13.8%
404	Santa Clara	Westwood	25	5.7%	1	0.2%	52	11.8%	14	3.2%	132	29.9%	2	0.5%	171	38.8%	44	10.0%
		Totals and Averages	**871**	**4.3%**	**154**	**0.8%**	**3,364**	**16.4%**	**693**	**3.4%**	**6,456**	**31.5%**	**175**	**0.9%**	**6,774**	**33.1%**	**990**	**4.8%**

total enrollment in all D4 schools=	**20,490**

Tuesday, October 24, 2006

To:	D4 Pilot Research Study Project Advisory Group
From:	D4 Pilot Core Research Team: LPC, E3 Institute, FIRST 5 SCC, COE
Subject:	Information to Review for Upcoming November 1st Meeting
Date/Time:	Wednesday, November 1, 9:00 am-Noon
Location:	FIRST 5 Santa Clara County

List of attached maps:

- Santa Clara County District 4 with Cities and Freeways
- SCC District 4 Boundary with Cities and Freeways
- Number of Children 0-5 in Census 2000 and Licensed and Exempt Child Care Providers
- Number of Children 0-5 in Poverty and Licensed and Exempt Providers
- Licensed Early Education Providers and Children 0-5 Years
- CEL Waiting List and Children 0-5 Years
- CEL Waiting List and Licensed and Exempt Providers
- Family Median Income and Licensed and Exempt Providers
- Family Median Income, CEL Wait List, and Licensed Providers
- Household Median Income and Licensed and Exempt Providers
- Households Receiving Public Assistance Income and Licensed and Exempt Providers
- Households Receiving Public Assistance Income and Children on CEL Waiting List by Block Group
- Number of Children with Single Parent Working, and Licensed and Exempt Providers
- Speak English Not Well or Not at All (Ages 6-17 years)

Definitions:

❑ **Public Assistance**
"Total income" is the sum of the amounts reported separately for wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, or tips; self-employment income from [one's] own non-farm or farm businesses, including proprietorships and partnerships; interest, dividends, net rental income, royalty income, or income from estates and trusts; Social Security or Railroad Retirement income; Supplemental Security Income (SSI); any public assistance or welfare payments from the state or local welfare office; retirement, survivor, or disability pensions; and any other sources of income received regularly such as Veterans' (VA) payments, unemployment compensation, child support, or alimony.

Here is the relevant question from the survey itself, for each person in a family:

f. Any public assistance or welfare payments from the state or local welfare office?
Yes—Annual amount _____ *Dollars*

❑ **Total children 0-5 in D4 (from census 2000)**
From the spreadsheet provided to the D4 project by the county last July, the "calculated" number is 22,837. "Calculated" means that for those block groups that go over the District boundary, a percentage was applied.

The "calculated" number of "children 0-5 in poverty" was 1695.

❑ Poverty

Source: http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/poverty/povdef.html

Following the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Statistical Policy Directive 14, the Census Bureau uses a set of money income thresholds that vary by family size and composition to determine who is in poverty. If a family's total income is less than the family's threshold, then that family and every individual in it is considered in poverty. The official poverty thresholds do not vary geographically, but they are updated for inflation using Consumer Price Index (CPI-U). The official poverty definition uses money income before taxes and does not include capital gains or noncash benefits (such as public housing, Medicaid, and food stamps).

Income used to compute poverty status:

- Money income
  - Includes earnings, unemployment compensation, workers' compensation, Social Security, Supplemental Security Income, public assistance, veterans' payments, survivor benefits, pension or retirement income, interest, dividends, rents, royalties, income from estates, trusts, educational assistance, alimony, child support, assistance from outside the household, and other miscellaneous sources.
  - Noncash benefits (such as food stamps and housing subsidies) do not count.
  - Before taxes.
  - Excludes capital gains or losses.
  - If a person lives with a family, add up the income of all family members. (Non-relatives, such as housemates, do not count.)

Measure of need (poverty thresholds):

- Poverty thresholds are the dollar amounts used to determine poverty status
- Each person or family is assigned one out of 48 possible poverty thresholds
- Thresholds vary according to size
  - Size of the family
  - Ages of the members
- The same thresholds are used throughout the United States (do not vary geographically)
- Updated annually for inflation using the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).
- Although the thresholds in some sense reflect families needs,
  - They are intended for use as a statistical yardstick, not as a complete description of what people and families need to live
  - Many government aid programs use a different poverty measure, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) poverty guidelines, or multiples thereof
- Poverty thresholds were originally derived in 1963-1964, using:
  - U.S. Department of Agriculture food budgets designed for families under economic stress
  - Data about what portion of their income families spent on food

Computation:

- If total family income is less than the threshold appropriate for that family,
  - The family is in poverty
  - All family members have the same poverty status
  - For individuals who do not live with family members, their own income is compared with the appropriate threshold
- If total family income equals or is greater than the threshold, the family (or unrelated individual) is not in poverty

Example:

E3 Institute-Advancing Excellence in Early Education-WestEd
Funded by FIRST 5 Santa Clara County

- Family A has five members: two children, their mother, father, and great-aunt.
  - Their threshold was $23,108 dollars in 2004. (See poverty thresholds for 2004)
  - Suppose the members' incomes in 2004 were:

Mother:	$10,000
Father:	5,000
Great-aunt:	10,000
First child:	0
Second child:	0
Total family income:	$25,000

- Compare total family income with their family's threshold.

  Income / Threshold = $25,000 / $23,108 = 1.08
- Since their income was greater than their threshold, Family A is not "in poverty" according to the official definition.
- The income divided by the threshold is called the **Ratio of Income to Poverty.**
  - Family A's ratio of income to poverty was 1.08.
- The difference in dollars between family income and the family's poverty threshold is called the **Income Deficit** (for families in poverty) or **Income Surplus** (for families above poverty)
  -- Family A's income surplus was $1,892 (or $25,000 - $23,108).

People whose poverty status cannot be determined:

- Unrelated individuals under age 15 (such as foster children)
  - Income questions are asked of people age 15 and older
  - If someone is under age 15 and not living with a family member, we do not know their income
  - Since we cannot determine their poverty status, they are excluded from the "poverty universe" (table totals)
- People in:
  - Institutional group quarters (such as prisons or nursing homes)
  - College dormitories
  - Military barracks
  - Living situations without conventional housing (and who are not in shelters)

Authority behind official poverty measure:

- The official measure of poverty was established by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in Statistical Policy Directive 14.
- To be used by federal agencies in their statistical work.
- Government aid programs do not have to use the official poverty measure as eligibility criteria.
  - Many government aid programs use a different poverty measure, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) poverty guidelines, or variants thereof
  - Each aid program may define eligibility differently
- Official poverty data come from the Current Population Survey (CPS) Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC), formerly called the Annual Demographic Supplement or simply the "March Supplement."

History:

"The Development of the Orshansky Thresholds and Their Subsequent History as the Official U.S. Poverty Measure," by Gordon M. Fisher

E3 Institute-Advancing Excellence in Early Education-WestEd
Funded by FIRST 5 Santa Clara County

Santa Clara County District 4 with Cities and Freeways



Prepared by WestEd
for District 4 study.
September 25, 2006
Sources: Census 2000 TIGER files;
District: SCC GIS office

SCC District 4 Boundary with Cities and Freeways



Number of Children 0 - 5 in Census 2000 and Licensed and Exempt Child Care Providers



Number of Children 0 - 5 in Poverty and Licensed and Exempt Child Care Providers



Licensed Early Education Providers and Children 0 - 5 Years



CEL Waiting List and Children 0-5 Years



CEL Waiting List and Licensed and Exempt Providers



Family Median Income and Licensed and Exempt Providers



Family Median Income, CEL Wait List, and Licensed Providers



Household Median Income and Licensed and Exempt Providers



Households Receiving Public Assistance Income and Licensed and Exempt Providers



Households Receiving Public Assistance Income and Children on CEL Waiting List by Block Group



Number of Children with Single Parent Working, and Licensed and Exempt Providers



Speak English Not Well or Not at All (Ages 6-17)